

PEARSON, J.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO
EASTERN DIVISION

JOSEPH NAGY,)	
)	CASE NO. 1:12CV00825
Plaintiff,)	
)	
v.)	JUDGE BENITA Y. PEARSON
)	
COMMISSIONER OF SOCIAL)	
SECURITY,)	
)	
Defendant.)	<u>ORDER</u>

In the above-captioned case, an Administrative Law Judge (“ALJ”) denied Plaintiff Joseph Nagy’s applications for Disability Insurance Benefits (“DIB”) and Supplemental Security Income (“SSI”) after a hearing. That decision became the final determination of Defendant Commissioner of Social Security when the Appeals Council denied Plaintiff’s request to review the ALJ’s decision. Plaintiff subsequently sought judicial review of Defendant’s decision, and this Court referred the case to Magistrate Judge William Baughman, Jr., for preparation of a Report and Recommendation pursuant to [28 U.S.C. § 636](#). The magistrate judge recommended that Defendant’s decision that Plaintiff is not disabled for purposes of his 1998 SSI applications and 2002 DIB application be reversed and remanded for further proceedings consistent with the Report and Recommendation. [ECF No. 27 at 13](#).

[28 U.S.C. § 636](#) provides that a party may serve and file specific written objections within fourteen days after being served with the recommendations of the magistrate judge. The statute does not require a district judge to review a magistrate judge’s report to which no objections are filed. [*Thomas v. Arn*, 474 U.S. 140, 149, 106 S. Ct. 466, 88 L. Ed. 2d \(1986\)](#). On

July 3, 2013, Defendant filed a notice informing the Court that she will not object to the Report and Recommendation. [ECF No. 28](#). Plaintiff also has not filed any objections, even though it was due on July 4, 2013, evidencing satisfaction with the magistrate judge's recommendations. Any further review by this Court would be a duplicative and inefficient use of judicial resources.

*See [*Howard v. Secretary of Health & Human Services*, 932 F.2d 505, 509 \(6th Cir. 1991\).](#)*

Accordingly, the Court adopts the Report and Recommendation. Defendant's final decision denying Plaintiff's SSI and DIB applications is reversed and remanded consistent with the Report and Recommendation.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

July 10, 2013
Date

/s/ Benita Y. Pearson
Benita Y. Pearson
United States District Judge